Updated interim results from CLASSICAL-Lung, a phase 1b/2 study of pepinemab (VX15/2503) in combination with avelumab in advanced NSCLC patients

Michael Shafique¹, Terrence L. Fisher², Elizabeth Evans², John E. Leonard², Desa Rae Pastore², Crystal Mallow², Ernest Smith², Andreas Schröder³, Kevin Chin⁴, Thaddeus Beck⁵, Megan A. Baumgart⁶, Ramaswamy Govindan⁷, Nashat Gabrail⁸, Jonathan W. Goldman⁹, Rachel E. Sanborn¹⁰, Alexander I. Spira¹¹, Nagashree Seetharamu¹², Yanyan Lou¹³, Aaron S. Mansfield¹⁴, and Maurice Zauderer². ¹Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL; ²Vaccinex, Inc., Rochester, NY; ³Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; ⁴EMD Serono, Billerica, MA; ⁵Highlands Oncology, Rogers, AR; ⁶University of Rochester, NY; ⁷Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; ⁸Gabrail Cancer Center, Canton, OH; ⁹UCLA, Santa Monica, CA; ¹⁰Earle A. Chiles Research Institute, Providence Cancer Center Specialists, Fairfax, VA; ¹²Feinstein Institute, Providence Cancer Center Specialists, Fairfax, VA; ¹²Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Northwell Health, Lake Success, NY; ¹³Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; ¹⁴Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN



This ongoing completely enrolled phase 1b/2, open label, single arm, first-inhuman combination study is designed to evaluate the combination of pepinemab with avelumab in 62 subjects (pts) with advanced (stage IIIB/IV) NSCLC.

Study Design

- The trial is split into dose escalation (n=12) and expansion (n=50) phases.
- The dose escalation portion includes subjects who are immunotherapy naïve and have either progressed or declined standard first or second-line systemic anticancer therapy.
- Subjects in the three dose escalation cohorts received ascending doses of pepinemab (5, 10, 20 mg/kg, Q2W) in combination with avelumab (10mg/kg, Q2W).
- The expansion phase includes an IO naïve (ION) cohort as well as a second cohort of subjects whose tumors progressed during or following immunotherapy (IO failure, IOF).

Study Objectives

- The primary objective is safety, tolerability, and identification of the RP2D for dose expansion.
- Secondary objectives include evaluation of efficacy, immunogenicity, and PK/PD, and an exploratory objective is to identify candidate biomarkers of activity

WARD LOOKING STATEMENT: To the extent that statements contained in this presentation are not descriptions of historical facts regarding Vaccines

. ("Vaccinex," "we," "us," or "our"), they are forward-looking statements reflecting management's current beliefs and expectations. Words such as

uture events, conditions, or circumstances) are intended to identify forward-looking statements. No representations or warranties are offered i

onnection with the purchase or sale of any security. Any offering of our securities will be made, if at all, only upon the registration of such securities under

ction with the data or information provided herein. This presentation is intended for

pplicable securities laws or pursuant to an exemption from such requirements.

" "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend" and similar expressions or their negatives (as well as other words and expressions referencin

1.Evans, EE et al 2015. Cancer Immunol Res. 3(6):689-701. http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2015/01/22/2326-6066.CIR-14-0171.full.pdf 2.Fisher et al, 2016. MAbs. 8(1): 150-162. <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19420862.2015.1102813</u> 3. Fisher et al, 2016. Cytometry B Clin Cytometry. 90B: 199-208. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26566052 4.Patnaik et al, 2016. Clin. Can. Res. 22(4): 827-36. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2644694 *We would like to acknowledge Jerome Jean-Gilles Jr., MD, Department of Pathology & Lab Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center for pathology asses

nal purposes only and may not be relied on in



Phase 1b

Funding: This study receives funding from

Vaccinex, Rochester, NY, and from Merck

KGaA as part of the alliance between Merck

KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pfizer, Inc,

New York, NY, USA.

Link to poster on Vaccinex.com (Events & Presentations)

<u>Phase 2</u>

Figure 7A: IO Failure





Figure 7: Multiplex IHC demonstrating tumor content and shift in balance of T cells in TME. Core biopsies from eight IO-failure subjects (A) and seven IO-naïve subject (B) were analyzed, including pre and on-treatment samples isolated from the same lesion; ontreatment biopsies were collected ~30 days post first treatment with pepinemab + avelumab (at cycle 3, week 5 visit). 5 micron FFPE sections were stained sequentially with Hematoxylin, pan-cytokeratin, and CD8; scans were co-registered for each stain. C) # of CD8+ T cells/sample area (mm2) was determined: total number of CD8+ cells were quantified from entire section, excluding necrotic areas and benign tissue, and normalized by sample area using Visiopharm software to determine CD8 density. Images were taken at 10x magnification with CD8 (red) overlays on cytokeratin stain; cytokeratin-positive tumor is colored green. Tumor content was verified by pathologist** review. NE = non-evaluable for CD8 density due to necrosis

- Tumor was absent or greatly reduced in 10/11 biopsies from subjects analyzed with PR or SD, as defined by RECIST criteria.

Interestingly, no tumor was detected in biopsies analyzed from 4/5 subjects with PR and 3/6 subjects with SD. Instead, biopsies contained fibrotic scar tissue with evidence of inflammation. In one of the IOF patients, no response to prior pembrolizumab treatment was reported (disease progression), but treatment with combination of pepinemab + avelumab resulted in disease stabilization by RECIST criteria and no detectable tumor in biopsy.

- Exploratory:

tfisher@vaccinex.com

#P414



DEMOGRAPHICS

Avolumah	Subjects Fi	nrolled n=	(IO Naïve)		(IO Failure)		(All) 62		
	Age (years)	Age (years) Median Range 18 to <65 65 and over		67 51-85 12 38% 20 63%		62 30-83 16 53%		66 30-85 28 45% 34 55%	
× →	Sex	Men Women	23 9	72%	14 14 16	47%	37 25	60% 40%	
	Race	Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other P.I. White	1 3 0 28	3% 9% 0% 88%	0 0 1 29	0% 0% 3% 97%	1 3 1 57	2% 5% 2% 92%	
	Ethnicity ECOG perfi	Non-Hispanic or Latino Hispanic or Latino ormance status	30 2	94% 6%	30 0	100% 0%	60 2	97% 3%	
	Disease Sta	0 1 age at Screening	5 27	16% 84%	10 20	33% 67%	15 47	24% 76%	
	Histology	IIIA IV Adenocarcinoma	1 31 20	3% 97% 63%	0 30 19	0% 100% 63%	1 61 39	2% 98% 63%	
Refractory	PD-L1 (Dak	Squamous Cell to 73-10 pharmDx) Status No PD-L1 expression 1-49% PDL-1 expression 50-79% PDL-1 expression	12 6 8 2	35% 47% 12%	11 10 7 3	37% 77% 54% 23%	16 15 5	37% 43% 41% 14%	
 Partial Response Stable Disease 	*Not included	≥80% PDL-1 expression Unknown at Data Cut* d in % calculation	1	6% 15	0	0% 10		3% 25	
 Progressive Disease * End of Treatment Ongoing Response 	SAFETY								
50	 The combin tolerated at to date. 	all dose levels; no	pep cor	inem Icerni	ab p ng s	olus av afety s	velur Signa	nab i Is ide	s w ntifi
nknown intervals	 One DLT, a g pepinemab did not recu achert 	grade 3 pulmonary + 10mg/kg avelum ur in that same su	emt nab ibjeo	oolisn escal ct or	n, oc atior add	curred coho itional	in t rt, re sub	he 10 esolve jects	mg/ ed a in a
nab + avelumab. tibodies ssion. This is	 The most frequent related AEs still remain at grades 1 or 2 fatigue pyrexia, or chills. Two (2) Immune Related Adverse Event (irAE) occurred during the 								
ond to	 Expansion Componential No (0) subjection related advection and received 	ohort (immune rela s). cts were discontinu erse events. Two (2) d pepinemab mono	ed f sub ther	rom t jects apy.	sitis a he s [.] disco	and im tudy di ontinue	mun ue to ed av	e me treat elum	diate tmer ab
	 No deaths (grade 5) have been reported that were related to study treatment (pepinemab and avelumab) (15 Oct 2019) 								

CONCLUSIONS

The combination therapy of pepinemab plus avelumab is well tolerated at all dose levels; no concerning safety signals identified to date.

Among evaluable IO naïve subjects (n=21) enrolled in either dose escalation or dose expansion, 5 immunotherapy naïve patients experienced a PR, 3 patients have durable benefit over 1 year, and the Disease Control Rate (PR+SD) was 81%

59% of patients (17/29) whose tumors had progressed during or following treatment with anti-PD-x antibodies benefited from switching to the combination of pepinemab + avelumab, which appeared to induce a halt or reverse of tumor progression (SD or PD).

Clinical response or disease stabilization was observed in majority of patients despite low PD-L1 expression. 82% (18/22) of cumulative PR and SD subjects were reported to have negative or low positive PD-L1 expression (Dako 73-10 pharmDx assay).

• Initial histopathological analysis demonstrates increased CD8+ T cell density in most tumors following treatment with pepinemab + avelumab, indicating a favorable treatment-related change in the tumor micro-environment of patients experiencing SD and PR.

• Tumor was absent or greatly reduced in 10/11 biopsies from subjects analyzed with PR or SD, as defined by RECIST criteria. Interestingly, no tumor was detected in biopsies analyzed from 4/5 subjects with PR and 3/6 subjects with SD.

• Additional studies are planned to interrogate the tumor microenvironment and peripheral immune compartment for lymphocyte and suppressor cell subset analysis. Additional exploratory work may include SEMA4D and PLEXIN IHC, T-cell inflamed gene expression profile, tumor mutation burden, PD-L1 IHC, and RNAseq.

Based on current understanding of mechanism of action, pepinemab may overcome immune exclusion and myeloid suppression, which may contribute to intrinsic or acquired mechanisms of resistance in IO failure patients.