Correlative and spatial biomarker analysis of a phase 1/2b study to evaluate PEPINEMAB IN COMBINATION WITH PEMBROLIZUMAB for first-line treatment of patients with recurrent or metastatic head and neck cancer

Elizabeth E. Evans¹, Terrence L. Fisher¹, Crystal Mallow¹, Amber Foster¹, John E. Leonard¹, Marya F. Chaney², Tarek Mekhail³, Nagashree Seetharamu⁴, Conor Steuer⁵, Nabil F. Saba⁵, Douglas Adkins⁶, J. Thaddeus Beck⁷, Alain Algazi⁸, Barbara Burtness⁹, Megan Baumgart¹⁰, Steven Hager¹¹, Christopher Chay¹², Alexander Spira¹³, Ellen Giampoli¹⁰, and Maurice Zauderer

¹Vaccinex, Inc., Rochester, NY; ²Merck & Co, Inc., Rahway, NJ; ³Advent Health Cancer Institute, Orlando, FL; Zuckerberg Cancer Center, ⁴Northwell Health, New Hyde Park, NY; ⁵Emory University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; ⁷Highlands Oncology Group, Springdale, AR; ⁸University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; ⁹Yale University School of Medicine and Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT; ¹⁰University of Rochester, NY; ¹¹California Cancer Associates for Research and Excellence, San Diego, CA; ¹²Cancer Care of Western North Carolina, Asheville, NC; Virginia Cancer Specialists, Fairfax, VA

Pepinemab in combination with Pembrolizumab **KEYNOTE-B84**

- Single-arm, open label, phase 1b/2 study
- Analysis stratified by PD-L1 status

18 medical centers in USA **Inclusion**: pathologically confirmed R/M SCC; ECOG \leq 1, immunotherapy naive Exclusion: progressive disease within 6 months of curatively intended systemic treatment given for locoregionally advanced disease, symptomatic CNS metastases, active autoimmune disease. Study design mimics KEYNOTE-048.

KEYNOTE-B84 (NCT04815720) is an ongoing single-arm open-label study evaluating the safety, efficacy, and PK/PD of pepinemab in combination with pembrolizumab as first-line treatment of recurrent or metastatic HNSCC. Exploratory biomarker analyses were performed to evaluate spatial interactions of tumoral immune cells. Pre- and on-treatment tumor biopsies were collected and assessed by multiplex immunohistochemistry for up to 36 biomarkers/biopsy. Unbiased algorithms identified colocalization of markers for advanced cell phenotyping, density, spatial and proximity analysis. Biomarker results were then stratified by demographic and clinical outcome measures.

Background

Myeloid cells contribute to suppression of adaptive immunity within the TME and limit the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Semaphorin 4D (SEMA4D) signaling through its receptors (PlexinB1/B2,CD72) promotes recruitment and suppressive function of myeloid suppressor cells (MDSC).¹ Blocking antibody to SEMA4D attenuated MDSC and increased penetration and organization of dendritic cells (DC) and T cells into tertiary lymphoid structures that enhanced activity of ICI in preclinical and clinical studies.^{1,2} **Pepinemab**, in combination with anti-PD-L1 was well tolerated and provided clinical benefit in patients with ICI-resistant, PD-L1-low NSCLC³. We hypothesize that SEMA4D blocking antibody pepinemab may regulate infiltration and crosstalk of immune cells in TME as a novel and complementary mechanism of immune enhancement when combined with immune checkpoint therapy.



Tumor Response

~ 2x increase in ORR, DCR, and PFS in CPS <20 with pepi+pembro combination treatment, compared to historical control⁷

| | CPS <1 | | | CPS 1-19 | | CPS <20 | | | CPS ≥20 | | |
|-------|---------------|-------|--------|--------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|-----------------|-------|--------|
| | KN-B84 | | KN-048 | KN-B84 | KN-048 | KN-B84 | | KN-048 | KN-B84 | | KN-048 |
| | Pepi + Pembro | | Pembro | Pepi + Pembr | o Pembro | Pepi | + Pembro | Pembro | 🛛 Pepi + Pembro | | Pembro |
| Total | (6) | | 44 | (13) | (124) | | (19) | (168) | (17) | | (133) |
| CR | 1 | 16.7% | 0.0% | 1 7.6% | 3.2% | 2 | 10.5% | 2.4% | 1 | 5.9% | 7.5% |
| PR | 0 | 0% | 4.5% | 2 15.4% | 11.3% | 2 | 10.5% | 9.5% | 2 | 11.8% | 15.8% |
| SD | 2 | 33.3% | 22.7% | 8 61.5% | 25.8% | 10 | 52.6% | 25.0% | 5 | 29.4% | 30.1% |
| ORR | 1 | 16.7% | 4.5% | 3 23.1% | 14.5% | 4 | 21.1 % | 11.9% | 3 | 17.6% | 23.3% |
| DCR | 3 | 50.0% | 27.3% | 11 84.6% | 40.3% | 14 | 73.7% | 36.9% | 8 | 47.1% | 53.4% |

Safety



No concerning or inexpected safety signals identified. Pepinemab does not add toxicity to pembrolizumab

AEs appear to be either diseaserelated or prior medical history related and are low grade (Grade 1-2)

Altering the balance of suppressive myeloid to Antigen Presenting Cells

Disease Control Progressive Disease



Treatment appears to reverse the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in patients who experienced clinical benefit, compared to those with progressive disease

Results

- An increase in the balance of activated APC (HLA-DR+CD11c+ and HLA-DR+CD68+) to suppressive MDSC (Arg1+CD14+ and ARG1+CD15+) was observed in patients with durable disease control.
- Combination therapy induced the formation of highly organized immune aggregates, including a high density of activated B cells, DC's, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, including stem-like CD8+TCF1+PD1+ T cells. • Presence of immune aggregates increased in on-treatment compared to pre-treatment biopsies, even
- in HPV-negative and PD-L1 low tumors.
- Favorable spatial interactions between DC-1, CD8, CD4, and B cells was associated with PFS and disease control.

Treatment Induced Dendritic cells, B cells and T cells within the TME in Disease Control Patients



Disease control patients (DCR include: CR, PR, SD) show an increase in important inflammatory immune cell subsets when treated with pepinemab plus pembrolizumab. MacDc and CD8 T cells had the most significant increase with treatment. Statistical analysis: Two tailed unpaired t test, P<0.05

Novel and Independent Mechanism of Pepinemab



es with respect to the results and timing of clinical trials of pepinemab in various indications, the use and potential benefits of pepinemab in cancer, Huntington's and Alzheimer's disease and other indications, and other statements identified by words such as "may," "will," "appears," "expect," "advance," and similar expressions or their negatives (as well as other words and expressions referencing future events, conditions, or circumstances). Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include values the outcome of the Company's research and inicial development programs, future results, conditions, or circumstances). Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include values the outcome of the Company's research and use the risks and uncertainties include value of the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the utcome of the Company's research and use the risks and uncertainties include values that could active the test statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include values the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include values that could active statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties include as the statements involve substant

to obligation to update these forward-looking statements. For a further discussion of these and other factors could cause future results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement, see the section titled "Risk Factors" in the Company's periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange C

Results suggest that combination therapy induced formation of highly organized lymphoid aggregates in HNSCC tumors, with a high density of activated B cells, DC and T cells.





Combination therapy induced formation of highly organized immune aggregates with Key Immune Cells for Antigen Presentation and Expansion of T cells

TLS in Matched Biopsy Pairs

P = 0.0858

PreTx OnTx

Stem-like CD8

within Immune Aggregates

TCF1+PD1+CD8+ Stem-like progenitor cells

appear to be associated with Disease

Control and located within B cell aggregates

DCR

n=7

2.5

J 2.0-

₽ 1.5-

ົດ 1.0-

0.5-

0.0-





Stem-like CD8 are associated with improved response to immunotherapy and share features with T_{EH} cells found within immune aggregates. Patients with disease control had a higher density of stem-like CD8 T cells after treatment within the TME. Statistical analysis: Two tailed unpaired t test, P<0.05

Disease Control (DCR) Screen n=17, OnTx n=8 **Disease Progression (PD)** Screen n=11, OnTx n=6

PreTx OnTx 🔵 DC 💦 🔴 T cell 🔶 Stem-Like T cells 🛑 CD4 T helper cell 🔶 Treg 👘 💮 B cells n=5 All CD11c+ CD8+ CD8+ TCF1+ CD4+FoxP3-



Immune Aggregate Organization

CD4+FoxP3+ CD20

Disease Control (CPS <20) Progressive Disease (CPS ≥20)

Patients with PD-L1 low (CPS < 20) tumors have lower TLS, B cells, T increase in the number of B cell aggregates (above). These aggregates exhibit spatial organization that is cells and APCs than PD-L1 high (CPS \geq 20) disease before characteristic of functional immune response, similar to mature TLS. Highly organized immune treatment. After combination treatment, PD-L1 low patients aggregates contain zones of high-density APCs (activated DC, B cells) and a T cell zone with CD8, CD4 T demonstrate increased density of immune cells needed to mount a helper cells and stem-like CD8's. In contrast, patients with progressive disease and untreated patient tumors robust immune response, including B cells, T cells and APCs, along predominantly contain no or few immune aggregates with spatial interactions that favor immune with an induction of TLS that correlate with durable disease control suppression, including abundance of Treg. Remarkably, TLS were induced in HPV-negative HNSCC, which typically have low TLS and lower disease control rates.



Similar observations in separate trial for patients with metastatic melanoma, supporting that combination immunotherapy with pepinemab induces mature lymphoid structures within the TME².



Evidence of treatment-induced biologic activity corresponding with disease control and suggests a novel and independent mechanism of pepinemab to enhance immune interactions and ICI activity.

REFERENCES 1. Clavijo PE et al. Cancer Immunol Res. 2019 (2):282-291. 2. Olson B et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2022;10. 3. Shafique MR et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 1;27(13):3630-3640. 4. Rossi AJ et al. Ann Surg Oncol 28, 4098–4099 (2021)

- 6. Labroots webinar: Tertiary lymphoid structures to the forefront of immunotherapy: what are they good for? Tullia C. Bruno, PhD Assistant Professor, University of Pittsburgh, Hillman Cancer Center 9. Harmonize inclusion / exclusion criteria of KEYNOTE-048 Phase 3 NCT02358031. Burtness et al. 2022 Clinical Oncology 40 (21): 2321-
- 2332. NOTE: CPS <20 was calculated post-hoc from analysis of CPS<1 and 1-19 assessments; these do not represent alpha controlled

analyses

Fisher et al, Cytometry Part B, 2016; 90B, 199-208

study, reference for historical comparison of single agent KEYTRUDA

5. Ruffin AT et al. NATURE COMMUNICATIONS (2021) 12:3349.







Combination Therapy Reprograms Cold Tumors to Hot Tumors, Induces TLS and **Correlates with Disease Control**



Contact: EEVANS@vaccinex.com www.vaccinex.com

